

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

230192

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

17 September 1996

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: **SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE**

ATTENTION **ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS**
 ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME STOUTENBOROUGH, Andrea			RANK Inv	STAR NO. 83	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED]			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 01 Sep 96 - 1000 hours			BEAT OF INCIDENT 834	LOCATION CODE* 19
ACCUSED	NAME 1. DOIG, Jeffrey		RANK PO	STAR NO. 7121	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 004
	2.						
	SEX/RACE D.O.B. 1. M/W [REDACTED] 56		DATE OF APPOINTMENT 21 Apr 91		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED 1. 2.		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		DISPOSITION & DATE	
NAME DOIG, Katherine - PPO, Emp. [REDACTED]		ADDRESS** [REDACTED]		CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE Pax [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE F/W	DO.B./AGE 57 Age 39
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	DO.B./AGE
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	DO.B./AGE

SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS
Sergeant Patrick Kellam, Star #1433, 008th District, contacted the Office of Professional Standards on 01 September 1996, at 1235 hours, and registered a complaint made by P.P.O. Katherine Doig with Investigator A. Kuykendoll, Star #93. P.P.O. Katherine Doig alleged that on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, [REDACTED], P.O. Jeffrey Doig 1) verbally abused her by directing profane and vulgar remarks towards her, 2) threw a coffee pot to the floor causing it to break, and 3) prohibited her from using the telephone to speak with [REDACTED].

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*

01	Food Sales/Restaurant	11	Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02	Tavern/Liquor Store	12	Park District Property
03	Other Business Establishment	13	Airport
04	Police Building	14	Public Property - Other
05	Lockup Facility	15	Other Private Premise
06	Police Maintenance Facility	16	Expressway/Interstate System
07	CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17	Public Way - Other
08	Other Police Property	18	Waterway, Incl. Park District
09	Police Communications System	19	Private Residence
10	Court Room		

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01	No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02	No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03	Injured, Not Hospitalized
04	Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05	Injured, Hospitalized
06	Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07	Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08	Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09	Deceased
10	Deceased - Under Influence

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

230192

SUMMARY
Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).

In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	2, 5	5, 11	0	12

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS
Summarize the findings and recommendations: Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

Accused: Police Officer Jeffrey Doig, Star #7121

Allegations #1-#3: NOT SUSTAINED

APPROVED:

Supervisor Ann Peterson #100

DATE INITIATED
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

DATE COMPLETED
(DATE OF THIS
REPORT)

17 Sep 96

ELAPSED TIME
(TOTAL TIME,
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

14 days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2" X 11" SHEET

#83

SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION:

The complainant, Probationary Police Officer Katherine Doig, related in a formal written statement to the Office of Professional Standards (on 11 September 1996) that on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, she awoke [REDACTED], Police Officer Jeffrey Doig, to go on a [REDACTED] bicycle ride. Shortly thereafter, they engaged in a verbal argument. When the argument began, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] YOA) [REDACTED] YOA), went outside. [REDACTED], [REDACTED] YOA), was not home. The argument continued to the kitchen. During the course of the argument, Officer Jeffrey Doig knocked a coffee pot full of hot coffee with his arm off the counter, and it fell to the floor and broke. Officer Katherine Doig, who was standing approximately fifteen feet away when the coffee pot was knocked to the floor, jumped out of the way because the coffee splashed when it hit the floor. It was Officer Katherine Doig's opinion that [REDACTED] accidentally knocked the coffee pot. Officer Katherine Doig then placed a telephone call [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and proceeded to talk about [REDACTED]. At that time, Officer Jeffrey Doig unplugged the telephone. Officer Katherine Doig then plugged the telephone back in and called the police. Officer Katherine Doig stated to Investigator Stoutenborough, "We were arguing back and forth. I was sort of concerned that the argument not get heated, so I called the police just to keep it settled down." Officer Jeffrey Doig did not obstruct her in any way when she called the police. When the sergeant (now known as Sergeant Patrick Kellam) arrived, he interviewed Officer Katherine Doig. [REDACTED] then came [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] did not witness the incident. Officer Katherine Doig could not recall if [REDACTED] used vulgar and/or profane language; however, she stated, "I think he did use a few words, but I don't recall the exact words."

Sergeant Patrick Kellam related in his Initiation Report that Probationary Police Officer Katherine Doig related to him that she woke [REDACTED] Officer

Jeffrey Doig, to go on a planned [REDACTED] bicycle ride with [REDACTED]. At that time, [REDACTED] became angry and repeatedly insulted her in vulgar and profane language [REDACTED]. Officer Katherine Doig further alleged that [REDACTED] threw a coffee pot to the ground causing it to break. When Officer Katherine Doig attempted to telephone [REDACTED], Officer Jeffrey Doig took the telephone away and refused to allow her to use the telephone. Sergeant Kellam recommended to both parties that they contact the Department's [REDACTED]. Sergeant Kellam then initiated this complaint.

[REDACTED] (Probationary Police Officer Katherine Doig's [REDACTED] refused to be interviewed for this investigation stating [REDACTED] did not want to interfere in their lives.

The accused, Officer Jeffrey Doig, related in a formal written statement to the Office of Professional Standards (on 17 September 1996) that he engaged in an argument with [REDACTED] Probationary Police Officer Katherine Doig, because she woke him to go on a planned [REDACTED] bicycle ride when he was tired from working the Democratic Convention. He walked into the kitchen and made a pot of coffee, then took a shower. When he got out of the shower, he heard [REDACTED] talking about him on the telephone to [REDACTED]. Officer Jeffrey Doig told [REDACTED] to use another telephone if she was going to talk about him, and he unplugged the telephone. Officer Jeffrey Doig and Officer Katherine Doig continued to argue, and he accidentally knocked the coffee pot off the mini-counter when he was "talking with [his] hands." Officer Jeffrey Doig then went outside. While he was outside, a police officer arrived. Officer Jeffrey Doig did not know that [REDACTED] had called the police. The officer informed Officer Jeffrey Doig that a sergeant was on his way. When the sergeant (now known as Sergeant Patrick Kellam) arrived, he went into the house to speak with Officer Katherine Doig. Officer Jeffrey Doig remained outside and talked to the officer. When Sergeant Kellam came out of the residence, he advised Officer Jeffrey Doig to go somewhere for a couple

hours. Officer Jeffrey Doig went to visit [REDACTED], then he returned to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] a couple hours later to get ready for work. Officer Jeffrey Doig stated that he did not discuss the incident with his [REDACTED]. According to Officer Jeffrey Doig, [REDACTED] at the time of the incident, went outside shortly after the argument began. There were no witnesses to the altercation. While the police were on the scene, [REDACTED] brought [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. Officer Jeffrey Doig stated that he did not recall using profane or vulgar language during the argument. He denied that he intentionally knocked the coffee pot off the counter. He stated that he unplugged the telephone when [REDACTED] was speaking [REDACTED], but he did not physically take the telephone from her or prohibit her from [REDACTED] on another telephone.

CONCLUSION:

Due to conflicting accounts and lack of any other evidence, it is recommended that the allegations against Officer Jeffrey Doig be given the finding of NOT SUSTAINED since there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegations.

**COMPLAINT AGAINST DEPARTMENT MEMBER
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

INITIAL COMPLAINT CATEGORY C.R. NO.

C.R. NO. _____

230192

FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS (C), VICTIMS (V), WITNESSES (W), USE ANOTHER FORMSET.

* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR/EMPLOYEE, SOCIAL SECURITY NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN PHONE NO. BOX.

The complainant alleged that during an argument, the accused, repeatedly insulted her and used vulgar language [REDACTED] He intentionally broke a coffee pot and refused to allow her to use the telephone [REDACTED]

NARRATIVE OF ALLEGATIONS

INVESTIGATOR ASSIGNED		RANK	STAR/EMPL. NO.	UNIT NO.	SOCIAL SECURITY NO.
1. STOUTENBOROUGH, Andrea		Inv.	83	113	
2.					
DATE ASSIGNED -- TIME		DAY OF WK.	DATE ASSIGNED -- TIME		DAY OF WK.
1. 03 September 1996 1700		Tuesday	2.		

008TH DISTRICT

1 SEPTEMBER 1996

TO: COMMANDING OFFICER - 008TH DISTRICT
FROM: SGT. PATRICK KELLAM #1433
SUBJECT: INITIATION REPORT - C.R. 230192

DATE OF OCCURRENCE: 1 SEPTEMBER 1996 at 1000 hours

LOCATION: [REDACTED] Chicago, Il.

COMPLAINANT: P.P.O. Katherine Doig
[REDACTED], DOB: [REDACTED] 57, DOA: 6May96

ACCUSED MEMBER: P.O. Jeffrey T. Doig
Star #7121
EMP: [REDACTED]
SSN: [REDACTED]
DOA: 24Apr91
UNIT OF ASSIGNMENT: 004

NOTIFICATIONS: LT. Robert Contino #513, W/C 008
O.P.S. INV. Kuykendoll #93 at 1230 hours

ALLEGATION: The complainant (P.P.O. Katherine Doig) related that at 1000 hours this date she woke [REDACTED] (P.O. Jeffrey Doig) to go on a planned [REDACTED] bicycle ride with [REDACTED]. At that time Jeffrey Doig became angry and in the presence of [REDACTED] and he repeatedly insulted her in vulgar and profane language. The complainant further alleges that the accused threw a coffee pot to the ground and broke same. When the complainant attempted to telephone [REDACTED] the accused took the telephone away and refused to allow the complainant to use the telephone.

The reporting sergeant recommended to both parties that they contact the department [REDACTED] in an attempt to settle their [REDACTED] problems.

[REDACTED]
Sgt. Patrick Kellam #1433

CR# 230192

AH. 2

REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

DATE

06 Sep 96

TO:	NAME DOIG, Katherine	RANK PPO	STAR NO. Emp: [REDACTED]	UNIT 044
-----	-------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-------------

SUPERVISOR TO ACCOMPANY ABOVE PERSONNEL YES NO

YOUR APPEARANCE IS REQUIRED

AT <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION 1121 S. STATE, ROOM 1200 PAX 0-610	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS 1130 S. WABASH - 4th floor PAX 0-214	ON - DATE Wednesday 11 SEP 96	TIME 1300 hours
--	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------

AS <input type="checkbox"/> ACCUSED	<input type="checkbox"/> WITNESS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLAINANT
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

FOR <input type="checkbox"/> INTERVIEW	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WRITTEN STATEMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> SUBMISSION OF A TO-FROM-SUBJECT REPORT
--	---	---

CONCERNING - NATURE OF COMPLAINT
Allegations of misconduct against Department member.

REFERENCE - DATE 01 Sep 96	TIME 1000	LOCATION [REDACTED]	RD NO.	CB NO.	OTHER
-------------------------------	--------------	------------------------	--------	--------	-------

You are to report to

RANK Inv. Andrea STOUTENBOROUGH	NAME	STAR NO. 83	PAX 0213	Bell: 747-1703
------------------------------------	------	----------------	-------------	----------------

NOTE: The member MUST notify the investigator of his/her inability to keep this scheduled appointment.

NOTIFICATION MADE TO Training Division	UNIT 044	DATE 06 Sep 96	TIME 1645
NOTIFICATION MADE BY STOUTENBOROUGH #83	UNIT OPS	HOW Fax & Police mail	SIG [REDACTED] #83
AP [REDACTED]			

Copy to unit/watch commander: If the requested member is not available due to being on medical, furlough, transfer, etc., YOU will notify I.A.D/O.P.S. immediately.

COMPLAINT REGISTER NO.

230192

ATTACHMENT NO.

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

10 SEPTEMBER 1996
C.R. #230192

TO: Chief Administrator
Office of Professional Standards

FROM: Inv. III Andrea Stoutenborough, Star #83

SUBJECT: CONVERSATION WITH COMPLAINANT

On 10 September 1996, at 1540 hours, R/I received a telephone call from the complainant, P.P.O. Katherine Doig. She stated that she received the notification of appointment but wanted to withdraw her complaint. R/I informed P.P.O. Doig that she must give a statement per the provisions of the General Order. R/I suggested to P.P.O. Doig that she call F.O.P. She stated that she would contact F.O.P. and appear at O.P.S. at the scheduled date/time.

A large black rectangular redaction box covering a signature.

Investigator Andrea Stoutenborough #83

APPROVED:

C.R. #230192
Att. #4

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

11 SEPTEMBER 1996
C.R. #230192
Page 1 of 6

Statement of Complainant - P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Employee # [REDACTED], Unit 044,
relative to an allegation that on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, at [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], Officer Jeffrey Doig mistreated her during a [REDACTED] altercation.

Statement being taken at the Office of Professional Standards, 1130 S. Wabash,
Suite 400, Chicago, IL.

Questioned and typed by: Investigator III Andrea Stoutenborough #83, O.P.S.

Date and Time: 11 September 1996, 1255 hours

Witnesses of statement: No other persons present.

QUESTION: What is your name, star, employee number, and unit of assignment?

ANSWER: Katherine Doig, No star number yet assigned since I'm a P.P.O. Emp# [REDACTED],
Unit 044.

Q: What is your current age, date of birth, sex, and race?

A: Age 39, DOB - [REDACTED] 57, F/W.

Q: What is your Social Security Number?

A: [REDACTED].

Q: What is your date of appointment to the Department?

A: 06 May 96.

Q: Have you chosen to waive or exercise your right to counsel for this
statement?

A: Waive.

Q: Are you aware that this statement has the standing of an official
Department report and that any intentional falsification of any answer
to any question would be in direct violation of Department Rules and Regulations?

A: Yes.

Q: What was your duty status on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours?

A: Off duty.

CR# 230192
AH. 5

COMPLAINANT

Statement of P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Emp. #

Q: What is your relationship to Officer Jeffrey Doig?

A: [REDACTED]

Q: Was he [REDACTED] also on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours?

A: Yes.

Q: Are you currently [REDACTED]?

A: [REDACTED]

Q: Do you have [REDACTED]?

A: [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] YOA) and [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] YOA) and [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] YOA).

Q: Please relate what occurred on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, at [REDACTED].

A: We got into an argument. He knocked the coffee pot off the kitchen counter with his arm during the argument. We were arguing back and forth. I was sort of concerned that the argument not get heated, so I called the police just to keep it settled down. That's about it.

Q: Who witnessed the incident?

A: No one.

Q: Where were [REDACTED] at the time of the incident?

A: When we started to argue, [REDACTED] asked if they could go outside in the yard. I told them to go ahead. So they were outside.

Q: What prompted the argument?

A: I don't remember.

Q: Had you planned that day to go on a [REDACTED] bicycle ride?

A: Yes.

Q: Did this have anything to do with the argument?

A: I don't really remember. I think it did. Yes.

CR# 230192

Att. 5

COMPLAINANT

Statement of P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Emp. # [REDACTED]

Q: Did the argument begin when you awakened your [REDACTED]?

A: Yes.

Q: Where did the argument take place?

A: It started in [REDACTED] then I walked into the kitchen. He followed me into the kitchen and we continued arguing.

Q: Were there any profanities used during the course of the argument?

A: I don't recall.

Q: Was there any vulgar language used during the course of the argument?

A: I don't remember.

Q: Describe more specifically how the coffee pot fell to the floor.

A: He knocked it with his arm off the counter and it fell to the floor and broke.

Q: Was it intentional?

A: I think it was by accident.

Q: How far were you standing from him when he knocked it off the counter?

A: I was standing about fifteen feet from him.

Q: Did you attempt to make a call to your [REDACTED] at any point?

A: Yes. I called her during the argument.

Q: Did [REDACTED] refuse to allow you to use the telephone to call your [REDACTED]?

A: Yes, he unplugged the phone because I was talking [REDACTED] about him.

Q: Were you able to complete the call later?

A: I didn't call her back. [REDACTED] just came over and [REDACTED]

I should clarify that only [REDACTED] were home when the argument started and they were the ones who asked to go outside.

CR# 230192

Att. 5

KO

COMPLAINANT

Statement of P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Emp. # [REDACTED]

Q: What is your [REDACTED] and telephone number?

A: [REDACTED] Ph.# [REDACTED]

Q: Was the argument still in progress when [REDACTED] arrived?

A: No.

Q: Did [REDACTED] take the telephone away from you at any point?

A: He unplugged it so I couldn't keep talking. He never physically took the telephone away from me.

Q: At what point did you call the police?

A: After I talked [REDACTED].

Q: Did you use the same telephone?

A: Yes. I plugged it back in and used it to call "911."

Q: Did [REDACTED] obstruct you in any way from calling "911"?

A: No.

Q: Did you call "911" while the argument was in progress?

A: I think so, yes.

Q: Did [REDACTED] have any physical contact with you during the altercation?

A: No.

Q: Were you interviewed by Sergeant Patrick Kellam following the incident?

A: It was a sergeant. I don't recall his name.

Q: Did you relate to him what you have related to me?

A: Yes. I didn't take notes on it. I don't remember everything verbatim.

Q: Did you tell the sergeant that [REDACTED] repeatedly insulted you in vulgar and profane language in the presence of [REDACTED]?

A: I think he did use a few words, but I don't recall the exact words.

CR#230192
AH. 5

[REDACTED]
KD

COMPLAINANT

Statement of P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Emp. #

Q: Did you tell the sergeant that [REDACTED] threw the coffee pot to the ground?

A: I just said that the coffee pot fell in my direction and that he knocked it over.

Q: Did the coffee pot contain coffee?

A: Yes.

Q: Was the coffee hot?

A: Yes.

Q: Did any of the coffee hit you?

A: No, I jumped out of the way.

Q: Previously I asked you how far you were standing from him when he knocked the coffee pot off the counter, and you replied, "I was standing about fifteen feet from him." Is this accurate if you had to jump out of the way?

A: It was about that distance, but I'm not good at measuring. The coffee splashed when it hit the floor.

Q: What did [REDACTED] do immediately after he knocked the coffee pot?

A: I don't remember. We were both mad at each other, and we walked away from each other.

Q: Have you had any previous [REDACTED] altercations with [REDACTED]

A: There was one C.R. number against [REDACTED] in the past for a [REDACTED] incident. I was not a police officer then.

Q: Are you aware of the Department's [REDACTED]

A: Yes.

Q: What led you to believe that the argument would "get heated"? *CR# 230192*

A: I'm just concerned about my job and I wanted someone to intervene so nothing

AH.5

COMPLAINANT

Statement of P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Emp. [REDACTED]

would happen. I thought I was doing the right thing.

Q: Is there anything you wish to add to this statement?

A: No.

Q: After reading this statement consisting of six pages and finding it contains the responses you have given, will you sign it?

A: Yes.

[REDACTED]
P.P.O. Katherine DOIG, Emp. [REDACTED]

Date & Time: 11 Sep 96 1345 hrs.

[REDACTED]
Investigator Andrea Stoutenborough #83 OPS

CR#230192
Att. 5

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

11 SEPTEMBER 1996
C.R. #230192

TO: Chief Administrator
Office of Professional Standards

FROM: Inv. III Andrea Stoutenborough, Star #83

SUBJECT: CONVERSATION WITH [REDACTED]

On 11 September 1996, at 1400 hours, R/I called the complainant's [REDACTED] at [REDACTED]. When R/I attempted to question her about the incident on 01 September 1996, [REDACTED] stated, "I don't want to interfere in their lives." [REDACTED] refused to be interviewed. [REDACTED] : DOB - [REDACTED] 28; Address - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] IL 60453.]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Investigator Andrea Stoutenborough #83

APPROVED:

C.R. #230192
Att. #6

REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW/STATEMENT/REPORT
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

DATE

11 Sep 96

TO:	NAME DOIG, Jeffrey	RANK PO	STAR NO. 7121	UNIT 004 TACT
-----	-----------------------	------------	------------------	------------------

SUPERVISOR TO ACCOMPANY ABOVE PERSONNEL YES NO

YOUR APPEARANCE IS REQUIRED

AT <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION 1121 S. STATE, ROOM 1200 PAX 0-610	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS 1130 S. WABASH - 4th floor PAX 0-214	ON - DATE Tuesday 17 SEP 96	TIME 1300 hours
--	---	-----------------------------------	--------------------

AS ACCUSED WITNESS COMPLAINANT

FOR INTERVIEW WRITTEN STATEMENT SUBMISSION OF A TO-FROM-SUBJECT REPORT

CONCERNING - NATURE OF COMPLAINT

Allegations of misconduct made during domestic incident.

REFERENCE - DATE 01 Sep 96	TIME 1000	LOCATION [REDACTED]	RD NO.	CB NO.	OTHER
-------------------------------	--------------	------------------------	--------	--------	-------

You are to report to

RANK Inv. Andrea STOUTENBOROUGH	NAME	STAR NO. 83	PAX 0213	- Bell: 747-1703
------------------------------------	------	----------------	-------------	------------------

NOTE: The member MUST notify the investigator of his/her inability to keep this scheduled appointment.

NOTIFICATION MADE TO District Secretary	UNIT 004	DATE 11 Sep 96	TIME 1440 hours
NOTIFICATION MADE BY STOUTENBOROUGH #83	UNIT OPS	HOW Fax & Police mail	
APP [REDACTED]			#83

Copy to unit/watch commander: If the requested member is not available due to being on medical, furlough, transfer, etc., YOU will notify I.A.D./O.P.S. immediately.

COMPLAINT REGISTER NO.
230192

ATTACHMENT NO.

NOTIFICATION OF CHARGES/ALLEGATIONS
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

GIVEN TO ACCUSED
DATE

TIME

17SEP96 1235

NAME OF ACCUSED	RANK	STAR NO.	UNIT OF ASSIGNMENT
DOIG, Jeffrey	PO	7121	004

The law provides that if you are to be charged with a criminal offense or if your separation from the Department will be sought, you are to be advised in writing of the specific illegal or improper acts alleged against or attributed to you.

Furthermore, Department policy provides that you have a right to be advised in writing of the names of complainants and allegations against you prior to any questioning of you concerning the allegation regardless of the nature of the allegation and even if the allegation is such that it will not result in the filing of criminal charges or the filing of separation charges.

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that the following allegations have been attributed to you:

COMPLAINANTS:

1. DOIG, Katherine (P.P.O., Emp. # [REDACTED])

2. [REDACTED]

3. [REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED]

The complainant, Katherine Doig, alleges that on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, at [REDACTED], you:

- verbally abused her by directing profane and vulgar remarks towards her,
- threw a coffee pot to the floor causing it to break,
- prohibited her from using the telephone to speak with [REDACTED].

The undersigned hereby acknowledges receipt in writing of the charges or allegations against him.

Signature [REDACTED]

WITNESSES

[REDACTED] #83

PREPARE IN DUPLICATE:

- Original copy to investigator's file.
- Copy to accused member.

COMPLAINT REGISTER NO.

230192

ATTACHMENT NO.

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS
(Statutory)
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

NAME OF ACCUSED	RANK	STAR NO	GIVEN TO MEMBER DATE	TIME
			UNIT OF ASSIGNMENT	
DOIG, Jeffrey	PO	7121	178696	1235
004				

The law provides that you are to be advised of the following:

1. Any admission or statement made by you in the course of this hearing, interrogation or examination may be used as the basis for your suspension or as the basis for charges seeking your removal or discharge or suspension in excess of 30 days
2. You have the right to counsel of your choosing to be present with you to advise you at this hearing, interrogation or examination and you may consult with him as you desire.
3. You have a right to be given a reasonable time to obtain counsel of your own choosing.
4. You have no right to remain silent. You have an obligation to truthfully answer questions put to you. You are advised that your statements or responses constitute an official police report.
5. If you refuse to answer questions put to you, you will be ordered by a superior officer to answer the questions.
6. If you persist in your refusal after the order has been given to you, you are advised that such refusal constitutes a violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department and will serve as a basis for which your discharge will be sought.
7. You are further advised that by law any admission or statement made by you during the course of this hearing, interrogation or examination and the fruits thereof cannot be used against you in a subsequent criminal proceeding.

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he was informed of the above rights.

Signature

83

**DISTRIBUTION
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION**

Original to investigator's file
Duplicate to affected member

COMPLAINT REGISTER NO

230192

WAIVER OF COUNSEL/REQUEST TO SECURE COUNSEL
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

NAME OF ACCUSED	RANK	STAR NO.	UNIT OF ASSIGNMENT
DOIG, Jeffrey	PO	7121	004



WAIVER OF COUNSEL

I, the undersigned, hereby acknowledge that I have received and read the charges/allegations against me and I knowingly and voluntarily wish to proceed with the hearing, examination or interrogation without having counsel of my own choosing present to advise me during this hearing, examination or interrogation.

Date-Time

17 SEP 96

Signature



REQUEST TO SECURE LEGAL COUNSEL

I, the undersigned, having been advised of my right to counsel of my own choosing at all hearings, examinations and interrogations in connection with the charges/allegations against me which have been given to me in writing and receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, elect to secure the services of counsel and agree to proceed with said hearing, examination or interrogation at

hours, on

, 19

, in Room

Chicago, Illinois, at which time said hearing, examination or interrogation shall be commenced. By placing my signature upon this statement, I affirm my wish to secure said counsel and agree to comply with Department hearing, examination or interrogation scheduled on the date aforesaid.

Date-Time

Signature

WITNESSES

DISTRIBUTION

COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION

Original to investigator's file

COMPLAINT REGISTER NO. 230192

Duplicate to affected member

ATTACHMENT NO. 10

Statement of Accused - P.O. Jeffrey DOIG, Star #7121, Unit 004, relative to allegations made by P.P.O. Katherine Doig that on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, at [REDACTED] P.O. Jeffrey Doig mistreated her during a [REDACTED] altercation.

Statement being taken at the Office of Professional Standards, 1130 S. Wabash, Suite 400, Chicago, IL.

Questioned and typed by: Investigator III Andrea Stoutenborough #83 OPS

Date and Time: 17 September 1996, 1240 hours

Witnesses of Statement: No other persons present

QUESTION: What is your name, star, employee number, and unit of assignment?

ANSWER: Jeffrey Doig, Star #7121, Emp. [REDACTED], Unit 004.

Q: What is your current age, date of birth, sex, and race?

A: Age 40, DOB - [REDACTED] 56, M/W.

Q: What is your Social Security Number?

A: [REDACTED]

Q: What is your date of appointment to the Department?

A: 21 Apr 91.

Q: How long have you been assigned to your present unit?

A: Five years.

Q: Have you been advised in writing of the specific allegations made against you, the name of the complainant, the date and time of the incident, and your Administrative Proceedings Rights?

A: Yes.

Q: Are you aware that this statement has the standing of an official Department report and that any intentional falsification of any answer to any question would be in direct violation of Department Rules and Regulations?

A: Yes.

CR# 230192
AH. 11

ACCUSED

Statement of P.O. Jeffrey DOIG #7121

Q: Have you chosen to waive or exercise your right to counsel for this statement?

A: Waive. This statement is not being given voluntarily but under duress. I am only giving this statement at this time because I know I could lose my job if I refuse.

Q: What was your duty status on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours?

A: [REDACTED] duty.

Q: What is your relationship to P.P.O. Katherine Doig?

A: She's [REDACTED].

Q: Are you presently [REDACTED]?

A: [REDACTED].

Q: Please relate what occurred on 01 September 1996, at 1000 hours, at [REDACTED].

A: We got in an argument over a bike ride we were supposed to go on. I didn't want to go bike riding. I had just gotten done with working the Democratic Convention, and I was tired. She woke me up and we started arguing. I got up and went into the kitchen and made a pot of coffee. I went and took a shower, and when I got out, she was on the phone with her [REDACTED]. She was talking about me [REDACTED], and I said that if she was going to talk to me, use the upstairs or downstairs phone -- not to talk about me in front of me. I unplugged the kitchen phone. We continued to argue and I accidentally knocked the coffee pot off the counter because I was talking with my hands. I then went outside. While I was outside, police came. I didn't know she had called the police. The police officer came in and said the sergeant was on his way. When the sergeant came, he [REDACTED] went in and talked to Kathy. I stayed outside and talked to the officer.

CR# 230192

AH. 11

ACCUSED

Statement of P.O. Jeffrey DOIG #7121

The sergeant came out and advised me to go somewhere for a couple hours so it could be resolved. I went to [REDACTED] and came back to the house a couple hours later to get ready for work.

Q: Did you discuss the incident with [REDACTED]?

A: No. [REDACTED].

Q: Were any of [REDACTED] present during the course of the altercation?

A: In the beginning, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were there, but Kathy told them to go outside. While [REDACTED] were there, we were only arguing.

[REDACTED] was gone during the argument. While the police were on the scene, Kathy's [REDACTED] home.

Q: Did you use any profanities or vulgar remarks during the argument?

A: Not that I recall.

Q: Where was [REDACTED] when you knocked the coffee pot off the counter?

A: We were both in the kitchen. She was standing about ten feet from me.

Q: Was the coffee pot full of coffee?

A: Minus a cup.

Q: Was the coffee hot?

A: Just on the warmer.

Q: Did any of the coffee spill onto you or [REDACTED]?

A: It splashed when it hit the ground and glass shattered. No one was hurt.

Q: Explain exactly how you knocked the coffee pot off the counter onto the floor.

A: The coffee pot was on the mini-counter which is only a couple feet long.

I was talking with my hands and accidentally knocked it off.

Q: Did you throw the coffee pot to the floor?

A: No. It was an accident.

CR#230192
Att. 11

ACCUSED

Statement of P.O. Jeffrey DOIG #7121

Q: Did you physically take the telephone away from her when she was speaking to her [REDACTED]?

A: No, I just unplugged the phone.

Q: Why do you believe [REDACTED] called the police?

A: I don't know why she called the police.

Q: Is there anything you wish to add to this statement?

A: No.

Q: After reading this statement consisting of four pages and finding it contains the responses you have given, will you sign it?

A: Yes.

[REDACTED]

P.O. Jeffrey DOIG #7121 - Unit 004

Date & Time: 17SEP96 1305hrs

[REDACTED]

Investigator Andrea Stoutsborough #83 OPS

CR# 230192

Att. 11

INVESTIGATOR'S CASE LOG
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS/CHICAGO POLICE

C.R. NO.
230192

DATE OF INCIDENT
01 Sep 96

PAGE
NO. 1

DATE	TIME	ACTIVITY	INVESTIGATOR
03 Sep 96	1700	Investigative File Assigned	
" "		Initiation Report - ATT. 2	
06 Sep 96	1330	T.T. Training Division @ 6-8316 - PPD Doig works weekdays 0745-1550. RII & send notification of appt. to "PPD Doig at Unit 044" and "Deputy Commander Charles Roberts at Training Division"	
"	1645	Sent Notification of appt. for PPD Doig via fax & police mail - ATT. 3	
10 Sep 96	1540	T.F. PPD Doig - see ATT. 4	
11 Sep 96	1255	W/I Stmt. PPD Doig - ATT. 5	
"	1400	T.T. [REDACTED] refused interview - see ATT. 6	
"	1440	Sent Notification of appt. for PO Jeffrey Doig via fax & police mail - ATT. 7	
17 Sep 96	1240	W/I Stmt. PO Doig - ATT. 8-11	
"	-	Investigation completed	
			Cr# 230192 ATT. 12